United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,446	08/24/2001	Makoto Tanaka	SCEI 3.0-082	2222
530	7590 07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			COBURN, CORBETT B	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD,			3714	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/938,446	TANAKA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP! MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u> April 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 and 31-47 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 and 31-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-132.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ter No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-24, 31-39 & 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeAngelis et al (US Patent Number 6,247,994).

Regarding claims 1-24 and 31-35, DeAngelis teaches an information processing/storage device (64) capable of connecting a plurality of operation terminals (42A-D & 14, 16, 17 & 18) operable by a user. The processing device has a means for generating correlation information (composite addresses, Col 13, 43-53). There is a means (68) for outputting the correlation information to the operating terminals. There is a display unit and a value setting means. (See Abstract, Figs 1, 2 & 11, Col 9, 25-36)

Regarding claims 37-47, the connectors (66a-d) are establishment determining means – if they are not hooked up, then the device knows it is not in communication with the terminal. The LEDs (93) are driven by the display information generating means that assigns information that identifies the operation terminal combination (input device & vehicle combination) and displays it on the display in accordance with that information. Naturally, this information cannot be displayed unless the establishment determining means determines that communications has been established - with no wire, there can be

Application/Control Number: 09/938,446 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

no signal. The connector (66) is also a transmitting means. The display information is a number (Fig 2), which is essentially an icon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeAngelis in view of Miura (US Patent Number 6,322,451).

DeAngelis teaches the invention substantially as claimed, but does not teach a video game. Video games in which a plurality of players control a plurality of vehicles are well known in the art. Miura is a video race game in which a plurality of players control a plurality of vehicles. Video games are very popular. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified DeAngelis in view of Miura to have used the controllers to control a video game in order to take advantage of the popularity of video games.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 2 April 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues that the DeAngelis patent does not teach outputting correlation data to the terminals. Applicant is incorrect. The combination of an input device (42) and a vehicle (14) is a terminal operable by the player. The composite address is correlation data it identifies the

Art Unit: 3714

terminal combination. Examiner admits that this data is not sent to the input device. But it is transmitted to the other part of the terminal (i.e., the vehicle). The entire terminal is operated by the user because the user provides input to the input device that controls the movement of the vehicle.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 7. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR [¬] system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5